

SOME APPLICATIONS OF MATHEMATICAL RESISTANCE

BO-HYUN CHUNG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the mathematical resistance and examine its properties and consider the applications of mathematical resistance to conformal mappings. We obtain the theorems in the connection with “the mathematical resistance zero” and “the fundamental sequences”.

1. Mathematical resistance

Let D be a domain in the complex plane, $\{\gamma\}$ a family of curves of D , and let $\rho(z)$ be a non-negative real-valued function defined on D . We set

$$A_D(\rho) = A(\rho) = \iint_D \rho^2(z) \, dx dy.$$

Let γ be a curve of the family $\{\gamma\}$. If there is countable sequence $\{\gamma_i\}$ of disjoint rectifiable arcs, which are parameterized by their arc lengths, such that $(\gamma) = \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_i)$, we set

$$l_\rho(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma_i} \rho(z_i(s_i)) \, ds_i.$$

We introduce

$$L(\rho) = L_{\{\gamma\}}(\rho) = \inf_{\gamma \in \{\gamma\}} l_\rho(\gamma),$$

where $L_{\{\gamma\}}(\rho) = \infty$, the family $\{\gamma\}$ is empty.

DEFINITION 1.1 ([6]). The function $\rho(z)$ shall be called *admissible* with respect to D and $\{\gamma\}$, if $A(\rho)$ and $L(\rho)$ are not both zero or both infinite.

Received December 29, 2012; Accepted January 11, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C35, 30C62.

Key words and phrases: mathematical resistance, fundamental sequence.

This work was supported by 2011 Hongik University Research Fund.

DEFINITION 1.2. The quantity

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda_D(\gamma) = \lambda(\gamma) = \sup \frac{L^2(\rho)}{A(\rho)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all admissible functions $\rho(z)$, is called the *mathematical resistance* of the family of curves $\{\gamma\}$ with respect to the domain D .

PROPOSITION 1.3 ([7]). *Let B be the interior of the annulus formed by two concentric circles of radii a and b ($a < b$). The family $\{\gamma\}$ of curves of B which connect the two circles has mathematical resistance*

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{b}{a},$$

while the family $\{\gamma^*\}$ of simple closed curves of B which separate the two boundary components has mathematical resistance

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda(\gamma^*) = \frac{2\pi}{\log \frac{b}{a}}.$$

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of the definition.

THEOREM 1.4 ([1]). (Conformal invariance of mathematical resistance) *Let $z^* = f(z)$ be a 1-1 conformal mapping on D upon a domain D^* and $\{\gamma\}$ be a family of curves in D , then*

$$\lambda(\gamma) = \lambda(f(\gamma)).$$

THEOREM 1.5. *Let $\{\gamma\}$ and $\{\gamma'\}$ be two families of curves of a domain D such that each $\gamma \in \{\gamma\}$ contains a $\gamma' \in \{\gamma'\}$. Then*

$$\lambda(\gamma) \geq \lambda(\gamma').$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\rho'(z)$ be admissible for the family $\{\gamma'\}$, subject to the condition that

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{L_{\{\gamma'\}}^2(\rho')}{A(\rho')} > \lambda(\gamma') - \varepsilon.$$

Define a function $\rho_0(z)$ in D by

$$\rho_0(z) = \begin{cases} \rho'(z) & z \text{ belongs to some } \gamma' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

For $\gamma \in \{\gamma\}$, let γ' be one of the elements of $\{\gamma'\}$ contained in γ . Then

$$(1.5) \quad l_{\rho_0}(\gamma) \geq L_{\{\gamma'\}}(\rho'),$$

since either $l_{\rho_0}(\gamma) = \infty$, or

$$l_{\rho_0}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma} \rho_0 ds \geq \int_{\gamma'} \rho' ds = l_{\rho'}(\gamma') \geq L_{\{\gamma'\}}(\rho').$$

For each $\gamma \in \{\gamma\}$, (1.5) holds, then

$$(1.6) \quad L_{\{\gamma\}}(\rho_0) \geq L_{\{\gamma'\}}(\rho').$$

Also, we have

$$(1.7) \quad A(\rho_0) \leq A(\rho').$$

Show that ρ_0 is admissible for the family $\{\gamma\}$. If $\lambda(\gamma') = 0$, the theorem holds trivially. Assume that $A(\rho') < \infty$, and $A(\rho_0) < \infty$.

If $A(\rho_0) = 0$, then either (i) $A(\rho') = 0$, or (ii) $A(\rho') > 0$. In case (i), $L_{\{\gamma'\}}(\rho') > 0$, since ρ' is admissible for $\{\gamma'\}$, while in case (ii), we choose ε so that $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda(\gamma')$ in (1.4), and we conclude from that formula that $L_{\{\gamma'\}}(\rho') > 0$. In either case, therefore, $A(\rho_0) = 0$ implies, by (1.6), that $L_{\{\gamma\}}(\rho_0) > 0$; hence, ρ_0 is admissible for $\{\gamma\}$. By (1.6) and (1.7), we obtain

$$\frac{L_{\{\gamma\}}^2(\rho_0)}{A(\rho_0)} \geq \frac{L_{\{\gamma'\}}^2(\rho')}{A(\rho')},$$

and therefore, by (1.4), $\lambda(\gamma) > \lambda(\gamma') - \varepsilon$, then

$$\lambda(\gamma) \geq \lambda(\gamma').$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

COROLLARY 1.6. *In particular, if $\{\gamma\}$ is contained in $\{\gamma'\}$, then*

$$\lambda(\gamma) \geq \lambda(\gamma').$$

2. Some applications of mathematical resistance

An important application of mathematical resistance is to the boundary correspondence between two simply connected domains which are mapped conformally on each other.

Throughout this section, D is a domain in the complex plane.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([3]). A *crosscut* of D is a Jordan curve γ in D which in both directions tends to a boundary point.

It is well known that $D - \gamma$ consists of two simply connected components.

DEFINITION 2.2 ([4]). Choose a fixed $z_0 \in D$ and consider sequences $P = \{p_n\}$ of points in D . With the sequence P , we associate the family Γ_p of all clusters of crosscuts of D which separate z_0 from almost all p_n . The sequence P is said to be *fundamental* if $\lambda(\Gamma_p) = 0$.

The definition is independent of the choice of z_0 .

Recall that $\lambda(\Gamma_p) = 0$ if and only if $L(\rho) = 0$ for all ρ with $A(\rho) < \infty$.

LEMMA 2.3 ([5]). Let D and D^* be simply connected domains in the complex plane, and consider sequence $P = \{p_n\}$ of points in D . Let f be a conformal mapping from D to D^* . Then the sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{f(p_n)\}$ are simultaneously fundamental.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $P = \{p_n\}$ be a fundamental sequence in D . Then all accumulation points of the $P = \{p_n\}$ lie on the boundary of D .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in D$ be an accumulation point of P . Then $\alpha \notin E$, since otherwise $\{\gamma\}$ would be empty, and $\lambda(\gamma) = \infty$, where E is the set of z_0 in definition 2.2. Therefore, the open set $D - E$ contains a closed disk F with center α such that $p_n \in F$ for an infinite number of indices. If ξ is a curve contained in D which connects α to a point β of E , then every crosscut γ of the family $\{\gamma\}$ intersects the continuum $T = \xi \cup F$. T has positive spherical distance δ from the boundary. Let $\rho^*(z) = \psi(z, \infty)$, where $\psi(z, w)$ is the spherical distance. Then, for each $\gamma \in \{\gamma\}$, $l_{\rho^*}(\gamma) \geq 2\delta$. Hence $L_{\{\gamma\}}(\rho^*) \geq 2\delta$. Since $0 < A(\rho^*) < \pi$, ρ^* is admissible. We have

$$\lambda(\gamma) \geq \frac{L^2(\rho^*)}{A(\rho^*)} \geq \frac{4}{\pi} \cdot \delta^2 > 0.$$

This contradiction shows that α cannot be an interior point of D . This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 2.5. A sequence $P = \{p_n\}$ of points of $\Delta = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$ is a fundamental if and only if it converges to a point $\zeta = e^{i\theta}$ of the boundary of Δ .

Proof. Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = \zeta$, and set $r_j = |p_j - \zeta|$, $C_j = \{z \mid |z - \zeta| = r_j\}$. Then the sequence $\{r_j\}$ converges to 0. By restriction to a suitable subsequence of $\{p_n\}$, we assume that C_1 separates C_n , ($n > 1$) from z_0 . Let $\{\gamma\}$ be the family of crosscuts of Δ which separate z_0 from almost all p_n . For j , every simple closed curve, which separates the C_0 and C_j , contains a crosscut which separates z_0 from almost all p_n and which belongs to the family $\{\gamma\}$. Let $\{\delta_k\}$ be the family of all such simple

closed curves by Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.5, we have

$$\lambda(\gamma) \leq \lambda(\delta_k) = \frac{2\pi}{\log \frac{r_0}{r_k}}.$$

The right side converges to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and the result, $\lambda(\gamma) = 0$. Thus, $P = \{p_n\}$ is a fundamental sequence in D .

On the other hand, if $\zeta_1 = e^{i\theta_1}$ and $\zeta_2 = e^{i\theta_2}$ are distinct points of the boundary. Let $\{p_m\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ be sequences converging to ζ_1 and ζ_2 , respectively. Let $z_0 = 0$. Each member of the family $\{\gamma\}$ of crosscuts of Δ which separate z_0 from almost all points of the join of our two sequences have Euclidean length at least $|\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|$. Denoting by ρ_0 the function $\rho_0(z) = 1$ for all z of Δ , we have $L_{\{\gamma\}}(\rho_0) \geq |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|$, while $A(\rho_0) = \pi$; hence,

$$\lambda(\gamma) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} |\zeta_1 - \zeta_2|^2 > 0.$$

A sequence of points of Δ with more than one accumulation point on the boundary cannot be a fundamental sequence. This completes the proof. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *Let D be a Jordan domain in the complex plane, and let $w = f(z)$ be a conformal mapping on $\Delta = \{z \mid |z| < 1\}$ upon D . Let w_0 be a point on the boundary of D , and consider a sequence $P = \{w_n\}$ of points of D converging to w_0 . Let $f^{-1}(P) = \{z_n\}$ be a inverse image of P . Then the sequence of points $\{z_n\}$ has no point of accumulation in the interior of Δ , and $\{z_n\}$ has one and only one point of accumulation z_0 on the boundary of Δ .*

Proof. Since w_0 is a point on the boundary of D , $P = \{w_n\}$ is a fundamental by Lemma 2.4. Thus, $\{z_n\}$ is a fundamental by Lemma 2.3. Therefore, point of accumulation z_0 of $\{z_n\}$ exist on the boundary of Δ , by Lemma 2.4.

On the other hand, z_0 is one and only one point of accumulation by Lemma 2.5. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Conformal Invariants. Topics in Geometric Function Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [2] L. V. Ahlfors and A. Beurling, *Conformal invariants and function-theoretic null-sets*, Acta. Math. **83** (1950), 101–129.
- [3] E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The Theory of Cluster Set*, Univ. Press, London and New York, 1966.

- [4] M. Ohtsuka, *Dirichlet Problem, Extremal Length, and Prime Ends*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1970.
- [5] V. Wolontis, *Properties of conformal invariants*, Amer. J. Math. **74** (1962), 587–606.
- [6] B. H. Chung, *Extremal length and geometric inequalities*, J. Chungcheong. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), 147–156.
- [7] B. H. Chung, *Some applications of extremal length to conformal imbeddings*, J. Chungcheong. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 211–216.

*

Mathematics Section, College of Science and Technology
Hongik University
Jochiwon 339-701, Republic of Korea
E-mail: bohyun@hongik.ac.kr